

TEAR HERE



**RESPONSE DEADLINE**  
**- 27TH JULY 2009**



# “A jet every 10 minutes”

- The Star 23rd April 2009

## – Object NOW!

Incredibly; TAG are back **again** demanding to increase flights at Farnborough International Airport by **79%**!

**UP** from 5,000 to 8,900 over the Weekends and Bank Holidays. **UP** from 28,000 to 50,000 overall.

Time is very short – if you want to object, you need to do so now. Even if you objected to the previous planning applications you will need to do so again now as this is considered a new matter. The deadline for registering your feelings to Rushmoor Borough Council (RBC) is the 27th July 2009 – only days away.

To help you we have attached an objection notice (containing the correct reference details) to this pamphlet which you will need to tear off and post to the address on the reverse. Alternatively you can email [plan@rushmoor.gov.uk](mailto:plan@rushmoor.gov.uk) quoting planning ref 09/00313/REVPP (you must include your name and address for verification).

If you'd prefer to pen your own objection then please do so; a reasoned and personal argument may carry more weight, just please do it before the deadline, quote the reference and include your name and address!

TAG have the support of the local MP and pilot, Gerald Howarth who has described local affected residents as “**Ignorant**” - *News Group 16th Oct 2006*. Aligned with Gerald and TAG are the UK Government, aviation lobbyists and TAG's powerful foreign investors. But you're not completely alone – many of the regional Residents Associations, Parish, Borough and County Councils for miles around share your concerns. Nevertheless, only a clear sign from the local ratepayers to RBC stands a chance of stopping TAG. **Don't miss the deadline, Object today.**

**Heavier aircraft in the pipeline**  
**79% increase in flights**



If you'd like to be emailed further news then email REGISTER to:  
[chairman@fara.org.uk](mailto:chairman@fara.org.uk)

Head of Planning,  
Rushmoor Borough Council  
Council Offices  
Farnborough Road  
Farnborough  
Hants  
GU14 7JU

Affix stamp  
here

## Our response to popular arguments:

### The RAF were noisier so what's the problem?

The RAF rarely flew outside of normal working hours. Apart from the impact upon schools, military flying was not a major issue.

### Farnborough is the home of British aviation history; without TAG it'll be lost.

TAG is a business like any other so if they don't like it they can make way for another operator who can work with the public. Museums are the accepted place for keeping history and we have two very good ones locally – a strip of tarmac isn't history but it might be a terrible future.

### Airport growth is vital for the Airshow and the Olympics 2012.

The future of the Airshow has been assured by the current planning permission. Use of Farnborough for 'Olympic' traffic can be accommodated outside of the planning permission – just as it is used by the UK and foreign governments now.

### The local and UK economy needs TAG in Farnborough.

But the current balance between the benefits and disadvantages massively swings against them if levels of flying were to exceed the current economically viable, level. **"The Government, aided by the aviation industry, is perpetrating a hoax that airport expansion is vital to the economy"** – *Brendon Sewill, Aviation Environment Federation*. Also, whilst RBC gains revenue from TAG; ratepayers in Hart, Waverley, Surrey Heath & Guildford get no contribution.

### Pollution is minimal, stop moaning.

The noise pollution from aircraft taking off and landing is arguably the most frustrating as it is constantly variable in pitch, longevity and frequency meaning that it can never become background noise. **"Aviation is the most highly polluting transport mode on earth – Children in schools or homes under the flight path can chronically under-perform – noise is not just irritating; it is a serious health risk too"** *Dr. Caroline Lucas MEP*. **"Aircraft emissions in the upper atmosphere have over three times the impact on climate change"** – *International Panel on Climate Change*.

### It's all very safe you know!

A Public Safety Zone (PSZ) is the area most likely to be affected should a crash occur. The Farnborough PSZ is more densely populated than any other PSZ, including those at Heathrow and Gatwick. TAG are saying that the accident statistics that were used to produce the PSZs are wrong, and they can nearly double the number of movements without increasing the risk. The National Air Traffic Service -NATS- who do the sums has refused to explain the basis for the change on the grounds of commercial confidentiality. This lack of transparency is totally unacceptable. The Institute of Public Policy Research has said of the Farnborough PSZ that it's **"Fatally flawed"**. They are right.

### Objectors should have known better than to buy their houses and now complain.

Yes, we suppose, if you have made a recent house purchase near the perimeter – but an insult if you bought further out, or in Hart, Waverley, Surrey Heath or the Guildford Boroughs. The PSZ for Farnborough was never highlighted in local searches until a few years back and the extended flight paths still aren't.

### Future growth will be sensitive.

TAG's mission and method must now be clear to everyone – their aim is to grow the business to the absolute maximum and they will ignore your feelings. **"On TAG's own admission, contained within the Master plan, the move towards 50,000 flights a year seems to be simply an attempt to ramp up the use of the airfield towards its claimed capacity of some 100,000 flights"** *Party political campaigner*.

### Produced by the Farnborough Aerodrome Residents Association (FARA).

FARA is the only residents association that focuses solely on the airport issues. We represent the interests of residents throughout the impacted area.

Our strength is in our membership as well as our expertise. If you wish to support our work, contact:

Graham Brothers (Secretary)  
87 Manor Road  
Farnborough GU14 7HG

Telephone: 01252 548458  
Email: [chairman@fara.org.uk](mailto:chairman@fara.org.uk)

Use this reply card to object or for extra privacy put it in an envelope and post to same address.

To the Head of Planning,

**Reference: 09/00313/REVPP – APP/P1750/A/06/202460**

I wish to **object** to the above application by TAG Aviation Farnborough Airport Ltd to increase the business aviation movements from 28,000 to 50,000.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Print name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Other comments: \_\_\_\_\_

TEAR HERE

TEAR HERE